Real estate investment trusts (REITs) have had a banner first four months of the year, even though the sector returned -0.2% in April after investors moved to a more “risk on” focus. Notwithstanding April’s pause, REITs’ year-to-date returns of 16.9%, should be viewed as making up for lost ground. REIT’s performance had been challenged over the past few years due to higher interest rate concerns. Despite the handwringing over higher rates, it is really interesting that the outcome currently appears to be a non-event as the 10-year US Treasury hovers around 2.5% and REITs’ performance is almost in line with broader equities since the end of 2017. We believe that this scenario supports the idea that investors should consider a more probabilistic event outcome approach instead of a binary approach. In other words, while allocations to REITs (or even real assets) should ebb and flow, we believe the sector warrants some level of continual allocation. Based on the approximately $23 billion in outflows from real estate funds in 2018 and effectively neutral flows so far in 2019, many investors have remained underweight the sector.

While public real estate valuations may not be screaming as “cheap” as they were last summer, valuations do not seem stretched. First, the tampered forward interest rate expectations due to a more dovish Federal Reserve is limiting downward pressure on real estate prices that would have existed with dramatically higher rates. Second, the continuing turbulent global macro environment continues to highlight REITs’ more predictable earnings and dividends given REITs’ higher exposure to domestically generated revenues than most all industry sectors. And arguably most importantly, real estate fundamentals remain quite solid, with a 40 basis point (bp) up tick in overall property-level net operating income (NOI) growth based on the first quarter earnings season. As real estate growth closely tracks GDP growth with a slight lag (which is logical as real estate demand is so fundamentally tied to the economy), 2.4% estimated GDP growth in 2019 and even 1.8%–2.0% estimated GDP growth in 2020 should continue to help propel property income growth for the foreseeable future. In addition, new supply deliveries look like they have peaked (or are about to) as development projects are getting harder to underwrite given ever-rising construction costs. Since 2010, US non-residential construction costs are up 39%, which is 2.6 times the rate of inflation.

Looking more closely at trends from the first quarter reporting season, 81% of companies either met or beat consensus estimates with 91% of companies either maintaining or raising 2019 guidance. Of note, the positive results were across many of the real estate sectors including apartments, manufactured homes, industrial, storage, lodging, and even community retail. An important take away from the results should be the strength and growth potential of REIT dividends. In the first quarter, 35 REITs have already increased their dividends, the overall sector dividend payout ratio is close to record lows providing a cushion for the sustainability of dividends at current levels, and the sector’s current 4% dividend yield is projected to grow 4%–5% over the next two years. Metrics continue to be fairly attractive in a low rate and inflation environment.

### Real Estate Indexes (SNL)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(%; cumulative)</th>
<th>1 Month</th>
<th>YTD</th>
<th>1 Year</th>
<th>3 Years</th>
<th>5 Years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>US REIT Equity</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
<td>16.91</td>
<td>18.83</td>
<td>25.94</td>
<td>53.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Real Estate</td>
<td>-1.06</td>
<td>12.55</td>
<td>4.46</td>
<td>27.03</td>
<td>39.84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Broad Market Indexes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(%; cumulative)</th>
<th>1 Month</th>
<th>YTD</th>
<th>1 Year</th>
<th>3 Years</th>
<th>5 Years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S&amp;P 500</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>18.25</td>
<td>13.49</td>
<td>51.58</td>
<td>73.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russell 3000</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>18.60</td>
<td>12.68</td>
<td>51.06</td>
<td>70.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### US REIT Property Focus Indexes (SNL)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(%; cumulative)</th>
<th>1 Month</th>
<th>YTD</th>
<th>1 Year</th>
<th>3 Years</th>
<th>5 Years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diversified/Other</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>19.45</td>
<td>11.03</td>
<td>19.25</td>
<td>31.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Care</td>
<td>-4.38</td>
<td>8.11</td>
<td>28.69</td>
<td>18.29</td>
<td>30.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hotel</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>18.14</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>34.24</td>
<td>24.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial</td>
<td>4.48</td>
<td>27.05</td>
<td>22.76</td>
<td>73.15</td>
<td>111.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multifamily</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>15.99</td>
<td>25.23</td>
<td>33.61</td>
<td>82.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>19.66</td>
<td>9.61</td>
<td>11.18</td>
<td>26.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail Enclosed Mall</td>
<td>-5.19</td>
<td>4.29</td>
<td>6.28</td>
<td>-13.66</td>
<td>8.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail Shopping Center</td>
<td>-1.18</td>
<td>17.07</td>
<td>16.36</td>
<td>-14.57</td>
<td>10.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-Storage</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>11.14</td>
<td>14.77</td>
<td>10.16</td>
<td>68.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As of 30 April 2019
Source: SNL Financial
Listed Real Estate Market Performance

REITs returned -0.2% which lagged broader equity returns of approximately 4%. While REITs are up substantially year to date at 17%, due to a tough 2018, REITs have only returned 11% since the end of 2017. Of that return, approximately 50% was due to dividends. Hence, REIT prices are only up 5%-6%, which is quite reasonable given similar earnings growth. With the strong relative performance over the past few quarters, REITs are only lagging broader market returns by 1.9% since 2017.

![Cumulative Returns (31 December 2017 to 30 April 2019)](chart)

As of 30 April 2019
For illustrative purposes only. The performance quoted represents past performance. Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future results.
Source: Bloomberg

Real Estate Fundamentals

Indicative of a sector multiple years into its cycle, property-level NOI growth has moderated (from 4.9% in Q1 2016 to 2.1% in Q4 2018), though it continues to trend near inflation. With most of Q1 2019 earnings reported, it is likely same-store growth will hold steady in 2019 with most of the sectors moderate, but still positive growth. Some sectors, such as regional malls, have shown more resiliency than expected. With real estate geared to general economic health, the combination of good GDP growth and generally moderate levels of new construction are constructive to future NOI growth continuing.

![Property Net Operating Income (NOI) Growth](chart)

As of 30 April 2019
Source: S&P Global Market Intelligence, NAREIT T-Tracker®

Underlying Property Values

US commercial real estate prices are up 3.2% over the last twelve months through March (as data lags by one month). This puts property pricing over 20% above prior peak levels and over 100% up since the recession lows of late 2009, though the rate of property price gains has slowed. Importantly, the price gains have been recorded across all core property sectors and are in contrast with market participants suggesting that REIT discount to NAV was foreshadowing weakness in property prices. Transaction sales volume also remained solid, up 4.6% for the investment grade segment. Commercial real estate continues to benefit from increased allocations across most investor categories globally, and this has translated into still-significant amounts of “dry powder” for real estate investment.

![Underlying Property Values](chart)

As of 30 April 2019
Source: Real Capital Analytics
Listed Real Estate Valuations

While REITs have closed some of the valuation discount to underlying NAV due to the strong price gains of the past few months, they are still trading at an approximate 6% discount to NAV. While narrowed, the current discount continues the sector’s longest continual valuation below NAV since the financial crisis. Interestingly, the sustained discounted valuations have now brought the 10-year average down to a 2% discount as compared to the longer historical 2%–3% average premium. While private real estate prices may fall prospectively, the evidence from property sales continues to suggest that prices remain strong across most sectors. The steadily growing economy, still-low levels of new construction, lower interest rates, and solid demand for US real estate are supporting pricing levels.

Even though REIT prices have rebounded over the last two quarters, the subsequent drop in Baa bond rates has kept the spread between bond rates and REIT implied capitalization fairly level over the last year. At a current spread of approximately 185 bps, the REIT sector is trading right in line with the long-term 180 bps spread average. A reversal in the Fed’s general dovish tone would certainly upend this generally benign environment, but for now suggests that relative value is likely fair, but still not “cheap” as a bond proxy.

After the strong price performance over the last few months, current REIT P/FFO valuations of 17.1x are slightly above long-term averages of 16.3x P/FFO. With the first quarter 2019 earnings season almost complete, initial results can be viewed as decent for many of the sectors with affirmation of 4%–5% earnings growth expectations for 2019. The main outlier to this trend is the retail sector, which continues to deal with the changing nature of retail, tenant churn, and the additional needed capital expenditures in order to reposition properties. Overall, the vast majority of REITs’ reporting to date have either met or beat analyst estimates for Q1, but still seem to be conservative on 2019 guidance. The results continue to demonstrate that REIT earnings are more “local economy dependent” rather than “global economy dependent” given REITs’ lower earnings volatility than other sectors.

Note: P/FFO is the standard REIT equivalent of the price-to-earnings (P/E) ratio.
Notes

1. Based on Evercore ISI’s “1Q 2019 Same Store NOI Report”
2. Source: Turner Construction Cost Index
4. CoStar’s commercial real estate investment grade index
5. CoStar US Composite Index
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Information and opinions presented have been obtained or derived from sources believed by Lazard to be reliable. Lazard makes no representation as to their accuracy or completeness. All opinions expressed herein are as of 30 April 2019 and are subject to change.

This report is being provided for informational purposes only. It is not intended to be, and does not constitute, an offer to enter into any contract or investment agreement with respect to any product offered by Lazard Asset Management, and should not be considered as an offer or solicitation with respect to any product, security, or service in any jurisdiction or in any circumstances in which such offer or solicitation is unlawful or unauthorized or otherwise restricted or prohibited.

The performance of investments in real estate and real estate related securities may be determined to a great extent by the current status of the real estate industry in general, or by other factors (such as interest rates and the availability of loan capital) that may affect the real estate industry, even if other industries would not be so affected. The risks related to investments in real estate companies include, but are not limited to: adverse changes in general economic and local market conditions; adverse developments in employment; changes in supply or demand for similar or competing properties; unfavorable changes in applicable taxes, governmental regulations, and interest rates; operating or development expenses; and lack of available financing. An investment in REITs may be affected or lost due in part to the fluctuation with the value of the underlying properties of the investment. An investment in REITs may be affected or lost if the REIT fails to comply with applicable laws and regulations, including tax regulations, specifically, the failure to qualify as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended.

Equity securities will fluctuate in price; the value of your investment will thus fluctuate, and this may result in a loss. Securities in certain non-domestic countries may be less liquid, more volatile, and less subject to governmental supervision than in one’s home market. The values of these securities may be affected by changes in currency rates, application of a country’s specific tax laws, changes in government administration, and economic and monetary policy. Small- and mid-capitalization stocks may be subject to higher degrees of risk, their earnings may be less predictable, their prices more volatile, and their liquidity less than that of large-capitalization or more established companies’ securities. Emerging markets securities carry special risks, such as less developed or less efficient trading markets, a lack of company information, and differing auditing and legal standards.

The securities markets of emerging markets countries can be extremely volatile; performance can also be influenced by political, social, and economic factors affecting companies in emerging markets countries.

Fixed income and preferred securities are subject to credit risk, interest rate risk, and call and reinvestment risk. The strategy’s investments in lower-rated, higher-yielding securities are subject to greater credit risk than its higher-rated investments. Junk bonds tend to be more volatile, less liquid, and are considered speculative, and a strategy may not be able to sell certain securities at the time and price it would like.

Non-domestic securities carry special risks, such as exposure to currency fluctuations, less developed or less efficient trading markets, political instability, a lack of company information, differing auditing and legal standards, and, potentially, less liquidity. The strategy may invest in a smaller number of issuers than other, more diversified, investment portfolios, and therefore the strategy’s net asset value may be more vulnerable to changes in the market value of a single issuer or group of issuers and may be relatively more susceptible to adverse effects from any single corporate, industry, economic, market, political, or regulatory occurrence.

Derivatives transactions may cause a strategy to experience losses greater than if the strategy had not engaged in such transactions. Investments in derivatives are subject to many of the risks of, and can be highly sensitive to changes in the value of, the related security or index. As such, a small commitment to derivatives could potentially have a relatively large impact on a strategy’s performance.

Wells Fargo Hybrid and Preferred Securities and “WHPS” are service marks of Wells Fargo & Company. Wells Fargo & Company does not guarantee the accuracy or completeness of the Wells Fargo Hybrid and Preferred Securities REIT Index and shall have no liability for any errors, omissions, or interruptions to publication. Wells Fargo & Company does not sponsor or advise any product or service that references WHPS, nor does Wells Fargo & Company represent that any use of WHPS by any person is appropriate, suitable, or fit for the uses to which it is put.